

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION,

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**DECLARATION OF SABRINA H.
STRONG IN SUPPORT OF
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO
DISMISS**

Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
Courtroom: Courtroom 6 – 17th Floor

This Document Relates to:

A.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
24-cv-01827

D.J. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-07228

A.G. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-01915

A.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-07821

B.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
24-cv-7940

C.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:23-cv-04972

J.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-03335

Jane Doe QLF 0001 v. Uber Technologies,
Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-08783-CRB

Jaylynn Dean v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et
al., No. 3:23-cv-06708

K.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.
3:24-cv-05281-CRB

Amanda Lazio v. Uber Technologies, Inc.,
No. 3:24-cv-08937-CRB

LCHB128 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
No. 3:24-cv-7019

T.L. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 24-
cv-9217

1 WHB 318 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No.
2 3:24-cv-04889

3 WHB 407 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
4 No. 3:24-cv-05028

5 WHB 823 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., No.
6 3:24-cv-4900

7 WHB 1486 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
8 No. 3:24-cv-04803

9 WHB 1876 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
10 No. 3:24-cv-05230

11 WHB 1898 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,
12 No. 3:24-cv-05027

13 Jane Roe CL 68 v. Uber Technologies Inc., et
14 al., No. 3:24-cv-06669-CRB

1 I, Sabrina H. Strong, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law before all courts of the State of
3 California and in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I am a
4 Partner in the law firm of O'Melveny and Myers LLP, and I am one of the attorneys responsible
5 for the representation of Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC
6 in this matter. Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, I make this declaration in support of the parties'
7 Stipulation and Proposed Order, filed concurrently herewith, regarding the briefing schedule for
8 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Dkt. 2791. Unless otherwise stated, the following facts are within
9 my personal knowledge and, if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify
10 competently thereto.

11 2. Defendants' request is based on the complexity of the legal issues presented and
12 other pressing matters in this litigation, including ongoing summary judgment briefing in the
13 JCCP pending in the California Superior Court for San Francisco County.

14 3. The briefing schedule, Dkt. 1950, for this particular motion has not been
15 previously modified. The Court has previously extended other deadlines, including the deadlines
16 for Plaintiffs' Opposition and Defendants' Reply regarding Defendants' Motion to Transfer. Dkt.
17 3057; *see also* Dkt. 3051-1 ¶ 4 (Plaintiffs' counsel's description of additional time modifications
18 in case).

19 4. The requested extension will not affect the remaining case schedule.

20 5. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

21
22 Executed on May 29, 2025 in Los Angeles, California.

23
24 /s/ Sabrina H. Strong

Sabrina H. Strong

25 **O'MELVENY AND MYERS LLP**

26 SABRINA H. STRONG (SBN: 200292)

sstrong@omm.com

27 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor

28 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 430-6000

Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

Counsel for Defendants

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC